REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In the Office Action dated September 3, 2004, the Examiner: 1) cited deficiencies in the IDS; 2) rejected claims 1-7, 20-21, 23-26, 30, 40-41, 45, and 51 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph; 3) rejected claims 27-29, 31, 39, 43-49, and 59-61 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 3,866,492, issued to *Knoll* (hereinafter *Knoll*); 4) rejected claims 1-5, 7-11, 14-16, 18-25, 30, 32-38, 40-42, 50-56, and 58 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Knoll* in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,230,591, issued to *Ling et al.* (hereinafter *Ling*); and 5) rejected claims 6, 12-13, 17, 26, and 57 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Knoll* in view of *Ling* and U.S. Patent No. 4,903,554, issued to *Colvin* (hereinafter *Colvin*).

Information Disclosure Statement

The prior art citations requested by the Examiner are included herewith in an Appendix.

The foreign patent document citations listed on the Information Disclosure Statement filed 31 October 2003 without dates are provided below:

AF	130638	03/11/1990	China (w/translation
AG	212343	09/01/1993	China (w/translation
AH	310649	07/11/1997	China (w/translation

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph

The Examiner rejected claims 1-7, 20-21, 23-26, 30, 40-41, 45, and 51 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1 and 51

With respect to claims 1 and 51, the Examiner objects to the pawl being described as "pivoting about the rotational axis of the gear wheel." The Examiner interprets the pawl as being a "sliding pawl that slides relative to the gear wheel." The Applicant would like to draw the Examiner's attention to the first line of paragraph [0036] of the specification, which describes "[a] transmission member 70 [that] is provided to convert manual pivotal movement of the reversing plate 50 into pivotal movement of the pawl 30 about the rotational axis of the gear wheel 21." Further, the last sentence of paragraph [0040] of the specification clearly states that "[i]t is appreciated that the pawl 30 is pivoted during pivotal movement of the thumb piece 52 via transmission of the transmission member 70 and the ring 40 that engages with the pawl 30." Therefore, after reading the specification, one of ordinary skill in the art would understand that the pawl 30 is pivoted about the rotational axis of gear wheel 21 by ring 40 and thumb piece 52, both of which also rotate about the rotational axis of the gear wheel. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would understand the scope of the claims including a pawl pivoting about the rotational axis of the gear wheel.

The Examiner does not make clear why the pawl "appears to be a sliding pawl," so the Applicant can not directly comment on the Examiner's clear misinterpretation of the specification and drawings. Given that neither "sliding" nor "slides" appears in the Applicant's specification, it is unclear how the pawl could be considered a "sliding pawl." Thus, given that the Applicant's specification clearly describes to one skilled in the art a pawl that pivots about the rotational axis of the gear wheel, claims 1 and 51 meet the requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph. Therefore, the Examiner's rejection should be withdrawn.

Claim 20

The Examiner rejected claim 20 because of the claimed "third compartment." Claim 20 has been amended to delete the limitation.

Claim 21

Claim 21 has been canceled.

Claim 30

With respect to claim 30, the Examiner objects to the pawl being described as "rotating about the central axis" because the Examiner considers the pawl to be a "sliding pawl." As discussed above in relation to claims 1 and 51, the Applicant's specification clearly describes to one skilled in the art a pawl that rotates about the central axis of the gear wheel, claim 30 meet the requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph. Therefore, the Examiner's rejection should be withdrawn.

Claims 40 and 41

Claims 40 and 41 were rejected for lacking antecedent basis. Claim 39, from which claims 40 and 41 depend, has been amended to depend from claim 33 so as to provide proper antecedent basis for claims 40 and 41.

Claim 45

Claim 45 has been canceled.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. 102(b)

Claims 27-29, 31, 39, 43-49, and 59-61 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by *Knoll*. Claims 27-29, 31, 39, and 43-49 have been amended by amending independent claims 27 and 31 to include a reversing plate rotatable about the central axis and operable to move said pawl from a first position to a second position. *Knoll* does not include this feature and therefore, the Examiner's rejection of claims 27-29, 31, 39, and 43-49 is moot in view of the amended claims. Claims 59-61 have been amended by amending claim 59 to include the pawl being moved between a first ratcheting position and a second ratcheting position by pivoting about the central axis of the gear wheel. The Examiner's own comments correctly find that *Knoll* does not disclose "a pawl which

pivots about the gear wheel axis." Therefore, the Examiner's rejection of claims 59-61 is moot in view of the amended claims.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. 103(a)

Claims 1-5, 7-11, 14-16, 18-25, 30, 32-38, 40-42, 50-56, and 58 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Knoll* in view *Ling*. Claims 6, 12-13, 17, 26, and 57 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Knoll* in view of *Ling* and *Colvin*.

Claims 1-7, 15-20, and 22-26

Claims 1-7, 15-20, and 22-26 either claim, or have been amended to claim, a pawl that is movable between a first position and a second position by pivoting about the rotational axis of the gear wheel. The Examiner finds that *Ling* teaches a pawl that pivots about the gear wheel axis and alternatively "takes Official Notice that the use of sliding/pivoting pawls as opposed to strictly pivoting pawls is notoriously old and well known in the art. The use of a sliding pawl is an obvious mechanical equivalent to the use of a pivoting pawl."

The pawl (30) of *Ling* is moved by pivoting switch member (60) that has a peg (63) that is engaged with slot (35) of the pawl. Therefore, pawl (30) can be said to pivot about the center of switch member (60) as well as pivot and translate relative to peg (63). There are no teachings in *Ling* that indicate that pawl (30) is pivoted about the rotational axis of gear wheel (20). Therefore, the Examiner's reliance on *Ling* to teach a pawl that pivots about the gear wheel axis is incorrect. Because neither *Knoll* nor *Colvin* teach a pawl that pivots about a gear wheel axis, the Examiner's rejections based on the combination of *Knoll* and *Ling* or *Knoll*, *Ling*, and *Colvin* should be withdrawn.

Further, the Applicant traverses the Examiner's Official Notice that "the use of sliding/pivoting pawls as opposed to strictly pivoting pawls is notoriously old and well known in the

art" and that the "use of a sliding pawl is an obvious mechanical equivalent to the use of a pivoting pawl." While the Applicant recognizes that both sliding and pivoting pawls are known in the art and that both sliding and pivoting pawls may perform the same functions, the Applicant does not agree that they are "obvious mechanical equivalents."

"In order to rely on equivalence as a rationale supporting an obviousness rejection, the equivalency must be recognized in the prior art, and cannot be based on applicant 's disclosure or the mere fact that the components at issue are functional or mechanical equivalents. In re Ruff, 256 F.2d 590,118 USPQ 340 (CCPA 1958), In re Scott, 323 F.2d 1016,139 USPQ 297 (CCPA 1963)." MPEP 2144.06. The Examiner has not provided any evidence that the use of a sliding/pivoting pawl is an obvious mechanical equivalent to a strictly pivoting pawl. The different types of pawls are not directly interchangeable and the substitution of one for the other would result in a significant redesign of the entire mechanism. For example, the pawl of *Ling* could not be used in the apparatus of *Knoll* without significant redesign of both the pawl and/or the body of the tool.

For at least the reasons discussed above, the Examiner's rejections of claims 1-7, 15-20, and 22-26 should be withdrawn.

Claims 8-14, 30, 32-38, 40-42, and 50-58

Claims 8-14, 30, 32-38, 40-42, and 50-58 have been amended to include a reversing plate rotatable about the central axis and operable to move said pawl from the first position to the second position. None of the art cited by the Examiner includes a pawl actuator that rotates about the central axis of the gear wheel. Therefore, the Examiner's obviousness-based rejection of claims 8-14, 30, 32-38, 40-42, and 50-58 is moot in light of the current amendments to the claims, and should be withdrawn.

Conclusions

During the course of these remarks, Applicant has at times referred to particular limitations of the claims which are not shown in the applied prior art. This short-hand approach to discussing the claims should not be construed to mean that the other claimed limitations are not part of the claimed invention. They are as required by law. Consequently, when interpreting the claims, each of the claims should be construed as a whole, and patentability determined in light of this required claim construction.

If the Examiner has any questions or comments regarding this communication, he is invited to contact the undersigned to expedite the resolution of this application.

Respectfully submitted,

DEREK V. FORINASH

Reg. No. 47,231

CONLEY ROSE, P.C.

P. O. Box 3267

Houston, Texas 77253-3267

(713) 238-8000

ATTORNEY FOR APPLICANT

DEC 0 6 2004

TRANSLATION OF OFFICE ACCEION BY TAIWAN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OFFICE ON OPPOSITION TO PATENT APPLICATION No. 089200570 P01 IN TAIWAN

REASONS FOR REJECTION

- 1. The Subject Application entitled "RATCHET WRENCH (2)" was filed on Jan.

 11, 2000 and allowed on Oct. 24, 2001. The patentability of the Subject Application was determined based on the applicable Patent Law and Rules amended and published on Jan. 21, 1994.
- The Subject Application comprises a handle, a drive member, a pawl, a ring, a 2. revering plate, retaining means, and a transmission member. A head having a compartment is formed on an end of the handle. The drive member has first and second ends located outside the compartment in the head, with an intermediate portion of the drive member being rotatably received in the compartment of the head. A first teeth portion and a second teeth portion are formed on a side of the pawl, wherein the first teeth portion has a center of curvature located in a position different from a center of curvature of the second teeth portion. Two circles respectively formed by the curvatures with different centers intersect with each other at a point. A line passing through the point and one of the centers of curvatures is at an acute angle smaller than 30 degrees with another line passing through the point and the other center of curvature. The ring is pivotally mounted around the first end of the drive member and has a portion connected to the pawl. The reversing plate is pivotally mounted around the first end of the drive member. The retaining means is received in an end of the reversing plate. The transmission member is extended through a notch (p.s.: the rectangular opening section 142) between the drive member and the head. By means of operating the reversing plate which causes pivotal movement of the

ring through the transmission member, the pawl slides to a desired position in which one of the first teeth portion and the second teeth portion is engaged with the drive member according to the ratcheting direction, thereby allowing reversible operation of the ratchet wrench (see the claims).

3. The opposition evidence II and the enclosure I (hereinafter together referred to as CITED REFERENCE 1) provided by the applicant initiating the opposition procedure are respectively the Patent Publication No. 212343 published on Sep. 1, 1993 and entitled "QUICK REPLACEMENT STRUCTURE FOR A D-SHAPED RATCHET WHEEL OF A RATCHET WRENCH" and a comparing figure containing an insert block of the Patent Publication No. 212343 and Fig. 2-4-1 of the Subject Application.

The evidence III (hereinafter referred to as CITED REFERENCE 2) provided by the applicant initiating the opposition procedure is Patent Publication No. 130638 published on Mar. 11, 1990 and entitled "DIRECTION ADJUSTABLE REVESING STRUCTURE FOR A RATCHET WRENCH."

The evidence IV (hereinafter referred to as CITED REFERENCE 3) provided by the applicant initiating the opposition procedure is Patent Publication No. 310649 published on Jul. 11, 1997 and entitled "IMPROVED CATCH TOOTH STRUCTURE FOR A RATCHET WRENCH."

The publication date of CITED REFERENCE 1 is earlier than the filing date of the Subject Application and includes a handle, an insertion block, a direction adjusting member, a C-shaped retainer ring, a spring, a steel ball, a D-shaped ratchet wheel, a compression spring, a positioning steel ball, a push rod, a returning member, and a positioning block. The head of the handle includes an axial through-hole. A side of the insertion block facing the D-shaped ratchet wheel includes ratchet teeth for forward ratcheting operation and ratchet

teeth for reverse ratcheting operation. The handle, D-shaped ratchet wheel, insertion block, and direction adjusting button correspond to the handle, drive member, pawl, and transmission member and reversing plate of the Subject Application. In the CITED REFERENCE 1, the direction adjusting member is used to move the insertion block, and the direction adjusting member is pivotally mounted around a first end of the D-shaped ratchet wheel and located outside the head. Thus, the technique of using the direction adjusting member to control engagement direction between the insertion block and the D-shaped ratchet wheel is identical to that of the Subject Application.

The publication date of CITED REFERENCE 2 is earlier than the filing date of the Subject Application and discloses a direction adjustable reversing structure for a ratchet wrench, wherein an upper plate and a lower plate are engaged by screws to a body, and a ratchet wheel and a catch are disposed in a through-hole in an end of the body. The catch having a first teeth portion and a second teeth portion corresponds to the pawl of the Subject Application.

The publication date of CITED REFERENCE 3 is earlier than the filing date of the Subject Application and discloses an improved catch tooth structure for a ratchet wrench, wherein the catch body having a first teeth portion and a second teeth portion corresponds to the pawl of the Subject Application.

The features of the Subject Application have been respectively disclosed in the CITED REFERENCES 1, 2 and 3. The high-torque reversing effects of the CITED REFERENCES 1, 2, and 3 are the same as that of the Subject Application. Thus, the Subject Application can be easily achieved by one skilled in the art and fail to provide improved effectiveness. Hence, the CITED REFERENCES 1, 2 and 3 are evidential, and the independent claims 1 and 2 do not posses improvement. Further, the specific shapes of the compartment, notch,

drive member, pawl, reversing plate, tip piece, positioning piece, and retaining means recited in dependent claims 3-15 of the Subject Application are simple application of prior art without providing improved effectiveness and therefore not possessing improvement.

In conclusion, the Subject Application fails to meet the requirement of Article 98, paragraph 2 of the applicable Patent Law.

經濟部智慧財產局專利異議審定書

受文者:胡厚飛 先生(代理人:林殷世 先生

址: 台中市北屯區崇德路二段一三○號六樓

發文字號:〈九一八九〇〇一二一一號發文日期:中華民國九十一年五月十七日

、被異議案號數:〇八九二〇〇五七〇P〇一

三、被異議人:

被異議案名

稱:

棘輪扳手(二)

姓名:胡厚飛 先生

地址:台中市大進街五三六之一號八樓

四、專利代理人:

姓名:林殷世 先生

地址:台中市北屯區崇德路二段一三○號六樓A1

五、異議人

姓名:甘冠娟 先生

地址:台中市正義街四十三號十一樓

六、專利代理人:



九、審定主文:異議成立,應不予專八、審查人員姓名:顏政雄 委員七、異議日期:九十年四月十日

利

十、理由:

系爭案 月二十一日修正公布之專利 四日審定准予專利 棘 輪 板 手 則其有無應不予專利之原因 係 法 規定 於 + 為斷,合先 九年一月十一 說 明 , 應 日申請 以 核 准審 專 利 定時所適用之八十三年一 本 局 於 九十年 十月二十

系爭案係由扳 之夾角 之外, 所組 面由 向 塊 的 設 於驅 操 缺 則 成 不 作 依 口 尔 同 之 換 動 中段容置 ,扳手一端固設 於三十度 功 藉 體 圓 向 第 能 操 ら い 位 手 作 所形成之 者 置 端 ; 於 控制 之 (詳見申請專利範圍) 驅動體 不同 , 容置 撥動裝置套合樞 固定裝置容置於控 鈕 兩 空間 具容置間 分 利 别 個 • 换 用 弧 形 以 其第 率, 成 向 撥接件連 的 塊 樞 頭部; 兩 一或第二 固 狀 撥 設 圓 態; 動裝置 制 動 於 ら 驅動 撥 驅 形成 鈕 换 齒面與驅 動 動裝置之樞 之一端;撥接件穿設 體 向 體之第一 之圓交於 以第一 控 塊 制 側 動 鈕 、二端 端,一 體 擺 分 點 别 固定裝置與撥接件等主要構 带 產生嚙合 設 ٠, 且 有第 側 動 分別凸出 換 過 兩 連 向 驅 圓 動 一與第二 塊 動 换 1 達 與相 於 產生 體與 棘 向 頭部容置空間 輪 塊 齒面 一滑移 交點 扳 扳 手頭 手 控制 所 ,各齒 具 形成 換

異議 證據二與附件一分別為八十二年九月一日公告第二一 二三四三號 棘 Ż



二齒 彈簧 與系爭案相 扳 頭部 引 方 稱 示 以 日 二、三具證據力 嵌掣 向 手 引 系爭案技藝已分別見於 定 證 頭 棘輪 構」專 種 位 調 係 快 面 證 開 節 塊 棘輪 之棘 藉 利 由棘 螺 定 速 扳 絲 調 於 拆 鈕 位 日 用 等主 節 手止 輪掣 與本 面 同 扳手止齒結構 期 鋼 輪 利案影本 換構造」 早 方 鈕 對 扳 珠 證據三為 向調節鈕來連動嵌掣塊 要構件分別相當於系爭案扳手、驅動體 系爭案為 塊 體結合,並將棘 於 操控嵌掣塊與棘 棘輪D頭之一 手、 齒改 ,足以證 推 系爭案申 嵌掣塊 專 .桿 相當於系爭案的 良結構」專利案影本 (引證二) 七十 利 、彈復元件與定位 熟習該 引 明系爭案申請專利 案影本及其嵌掣塊與系爭案第二圖 其具第一齒面與第二面之止 請 九年三月十 證一、二、三中,引證 、方向調節鈕、「C」型扣環、彈簧、鋼珠、棘輪D頭、 側設有正向與逆向棘齒,其棘輪扳手、棘輪D頭 日 ,證據 輪D 輪 項技藝人 ٠, 及棘 揭 換 頭嚙合方向之技 示 ,調節 輪掣塊 四為八十十六年七月十一日公告第三一 向 一日公告第一三〇六三八號「 塊等六件所組成,棘輪扳手頭部設有貫穿軸 士 種 塊 (引證三) 棘 範圍第 所 引證 定位 鈕 能 輪 樞 輕 扳 易完 於本 手 設於棘輪D 三揭 一、二、三具有高扭 、二項獨 。引證一公開日期早於系爭案申 之 術手段與系爭案相 成且未 轉 體 換 回 示 「爪本體 公開 向調 一端貫穿槽 向塊 Ž 整結構 頭之第一 四 立 能 日早於系爭案申請 之 項 增 撥動裝置與控 進 不 相當系爭案 棘輪 的比 具 功 內 同 力 進 係 端且凸出 效 步性 其具第一 之換 扳手之轉 藉 , 引證二棘 、嵌掣 故 上 〇六 向 的 引 下 於 以 另系爭 功 换 證 壓 片體 與第 扳 塊 四 效 鈕 下 向 九

案申請專利範圍附屬項第三、四 五 六、 七 十二、十三、十四

狀特徵皆為習知技藝之簡單 運用 並未 增進 功效 亦不 具進步性

十五項之容置空間、

缺口

驅

動體

•

換向塊

、控制鈕

撥片

定位片及固定裝置等形

文

據上論結:系爭案違反核准審定時應適用之專利法第九十八條第二項之規定 如有不服 本處分書影本經由本局向經濟部提起訴願 ,得於本處分書送達之次日起三十日內備具訴願書正 一、副本 (均含附件 爰審定如主 ,並檢附





依照分層負責規定授權單位主管決行

TRANSLATION OF FIRST OFFICE ACTION ON PATENT APPLICATION No. 00103289.5 IN PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

OFFICE ACTION

- 1. Since the applicant only discloses an embodiment in the specification and since no structural features are depicted for the "elastic means" and "reversing plate," claim 1 fails to clearly define the scope to be protected and thus fails to meet the requirement of Article 20 of the Patent Rules. Even if the structural features were illustrated to correspond to the claims, Article 18 of the Patent Rules would be violated. It is suggested to adopt claim 1 as the independent claim and to amend the dependency of the remaining claims.
- The specification fails to provide a corresponding technical description for claim
 and lacks subtitles, failing to meet the requirements of Article 18 of the Patent
 Rules.
- 3. The application should be amended according to the Examiner's opinion and submitted in duplicate within the prescribed period of time, with the amended portions being clearly indicated. Should the applicant refuse to amend the application and fail to provide sufficient reasons, the present application will be rejected.

中华人民共和国国家知识产权局

		 10 -> - m 40
申请人	胡謇飞	发文日期
代理人	引始晨 黄华廉	专利局。 2003 2013
申请号	00103289.5	
发明名称	一种辣轮找手	

第一次审查意见通知书
i. 图 申请人于 00 年 3 月 2 3 日提出实审请求,根据专利法第 35 条第 1 款的规定,审查员对上述发明专利申请进行
实质审查。
□ 根据专利法第 35 条第 2 款的规定,国家知识产权局专利局决定自行对上述发明专利申请进行审查。
2. □ 申请人要求以其在:
专利局的申请日年月日为优先权日,
专利局的申请日年
专利局的申请日年月日为优先权日,
专利局的申请日年月日为优先权日,
专利局的申请日年_月日为优先权日。
□ 申请人已经提交了经原申请国受理机关证明的第一次提出的在先申请文件的副本。
□ 申请人尚未提交经原申请国受理机关证明的第一次提出的在先申请文件的副本, 根据专利法第 30 条的规定视为
未提出优先权要求。
□ 本申请为 PCT 申请。
3. 🗌 申请人于年月日和年月日提交了修改文件,
经审查,其中:年月日提交的
年月日提交的不能被接受;
因为上述修改 □ 不符合专利法第 33 条的规定。 □ 不符合实施细则第 51 条的规定。
修改不能被接受的具体理由见通知书正文部分。
4. 图 审查是针对原始申请文件进行的。
□ 审查是针对下述申请文件进行的:
申请日提交的原始申请文件的权利要求第
年月日提交的权利要求第
年月日提交的权利要求第项、说明书第页、附图第 <u></u> 。
————年——月——日提交的说明书摘要。
5. □本通知书是在未进行检索的情况下作出的。
□本通知书是在进行了检索的情况下作出的。
口本通知书引用下述对比文献(其编号在今后的审查过程中继续沿用):

回函请寄:100088 北京市海淀区蓟门桥西土城路 6 号 国家知识产权局专利局受理处收

•						
追号	文件号或名称		公:	开日 期	明 申请日)
47				牟	月	日
1			<u> </u>	年 .		B
2						
3 .				年	月	日
				年	月	日
<u> </u>			·· .			
审查	的结论性意见:		•			
Ø ¥	关于说明书:					
	□ 申请的内容属于专利法第 5 条规定的不授予专利权的范围。	•				
	□ 说明书不符合专利法第 26 条第 3 款的规定。					
:	☑ 说明书的撰写不符合实施细则第 18 条的规定。					
√	/ ¥干权利要求书:				•	
	口 权利要求					
	口 权利要求					
	口 权利要求					
	口 权利要求					
	□ 权利要求					
	□ 权利要求	第 4 款的规定	Ē.	•		
•	□ 权利要求	第1款的规范	Ē.	• •	• •	
	☑ 权利要求	条至第 23 条	的规定。			
	□ 权利要求	规定。				
٠	工作人实施细剧第 12 -	条第1款的	观定。			
	□ 权利要求					
7. 基元	于上述结论性意见,审查员认为:	ē.				
V	申请人应按照通知书正文部分提出的要求,对申请文件进行修改。申请人应在意见陈述书中论述其专利申请可以被授予专利权的理由,并对证	角知书正文 部	7分中指	出的不	符合规	定之
	行修改,否则将不能授予专利权。 专利申请中没有可以被授予专利权的实质性内容,如果申请人没有陈述理。	4 お 考 陈 休	里由不充:	分,其申	申请将	皮驳回
	专利申请中没有可以被授予专利权的实质性内容,如果申请人及有际处理。	1 34°H ()				
8. <u>申</u>	请人应注意下述事项:	+ ** * •	+c ■ 由	海人干	正当五	里由渝
(1))根据专利法第 37条的规定,申请人应在收到本通知书之日起的 壁 个月	内除还思见	, 如木中	13 / \	. II. II.	
	答复,其申请将被视为撤回。			- 		细中
(2)申请人对其申请的修改应符合专利法第 33 条的规定,修改文本应一式两	份,其格式应	符合审查	17月日	7月天	56.AE 0
(3)申请人的意见陈述书和/或修改文本应邮寄或递交国家知识产权局专利 。	易受理处,凡	未邮寄或	递交给	受理兒	工的文
	具有法律效力。					
f A	() 未经预约,申请人和/或代理人不得前来国家知识产权局专利局与审查员	举行会晤。				
	通知书正文部分共有页,并附有下述附件:	•	•			
			•		•	
- <u> </u>	引用的对比文件的复印件共份页。		* = *			
	审查 = 部 审查员 21 对 21 4	查部门业务	一专用草_			- 注 / 字 :

一审通知

- (一)由于申请人在说明书中只公开了一个实施例,对"弹性装置"及"换向开关"没有指示其结构特征,<u>故权利要求 1 不能清楚地描述划出保护范围而违反</u>细则第 20 条规定。而如果划出结构特征就与权利要求一样而违反细则第 22 条规定,(建议以权利要求 2 作为权利要求 1 并修改相应的从属权利要求引用关系。
- (二)说明书中缺乏与权利要求 2 相应的技术方案及缺乏说明书部分小标题而违反细则第 18 条规定。
- (三)按上述审查意见修改的申请文本一式两份应及时递交, 并注明修改内容及相应的修改位置,如拒不修改又不能申述充分理由,此案将予驳回。

TRANSLATION OF DECISION BY THE BOARD OF APPEAL

DECISON

Appeal of Utility Model Application No. 89200570 P01 by the Appellant is rejected.

REASONS FOR REJECTION

Article 97 and Article 98, paragraph 1 of the applicable Patent Law stipulate that: "Any innovation or improvement in the shape, structure, or device of articles applicable to industrial use may apply for a utility model patent pursuant to law." Nevertheless, Article 98, paragraph 2 of the applicable Patent Law stipulates that: "A utility model patent cannot be granted if the utility model uses technique or knowledge that is well known prior to filing of the utility model and thus can be easily achieved by one skilled in the art without improvement in effectiveness."

The Subject Application No. 89200570 entitled "RATCHET WRENCH (2)" comprises a handle, a drive member, a pawl, a ring, a revering plate, retaining means, and a transmission member. A head having a compartment is formed on an end of the handle. The drive member has first and second ends located outside the compartment in the head, with an intermediate portion of the drive member being rotatably received in the compartment of the head. A first teeth portion and a second teeth portion are formed on a side of the pawl, wherein the first teeth portion has a center of curvature located in a position different from a center of curvature of the second teeth portion. Two circles respectively formed by the curvatures with different centers intersect with each other at a point. A line passing through the point and one of the centers of curvatures is at an acute angle smaller than 30 degrees with another line passing through the point and the other center of curvature. The ring is pivotally mounted around the first end of the drive member and has a portion connected to the pawl. The

reversing plate is pivotally mounted around the first end of the drive member. The retaining means is received in an end of the reversing plate. The transmission member is extended through a notch between the drive member and the head. By means of operating the reversing plate which causes pivotal movement of the ring through the transmission member, the pawl slides to a desired position in which one of the first teeth portion and the second teeth portion is engaged with the drive member according to the ratcheting direction, thereby allowing reversible operation of the ratchet wrench.

The opposition evidence II and the enclosure I (hereinafter together referred to as CITED REFERENCE 1) provided by the applicant initiating the opposition procedure are respectively the Patent Application No. 82207518 entitled "QUICK REPLACEMENT STRUCTURE FOR A D-SHAPED RATCHET WHEEL OF A RATCHET WRENCH," filed on May 31, 1993 and published on Sep. 1, 1993 and a comparing figure containing an insert block of the Patent Application No. 82207518 and Fig. 2-4-1 of the Subject Application.

The opposition evidence III (hereinafter referred to as CITED REFERENCE 2) is Patent Application No. 77209984 entitled "DIRECTION ADJUSTABLE REVESING STRUCTURE FOR A RATCHET WRENCH," filed on Oct. 20, 1988 and published on Mar. 11, 1990.

The opposition evidence IV (hereinafter referred to as CITED REFERENCE 3) is Patent Application No. 86200529 entitled "IMPROVED CATCH TOOTH STRUCTURE FOR A RATCHET WRENCH," filed on Jan. 13, 1997 and published on Jul. 11, 1997.

The TIPO (Taiwan Intellectual Property Office) deemed that "...The CITED REFERENCE 1 includes a handle, an insertion block, a direction adjusting member, a C-shaped retainer ring, a spring, a steel ball, a D-shaped ratchet wheel, a

compression spring, a positioning steel ball, a push rod, a returning member, and a positioning block, wherein the head of the handle includes an axial through-hole, a side of the insertion block facing the D-shaped ratchet wheel includes ratchet teeth for forward ratcheting operation and ratchet teeth for reverse ratcheting operation. The handle, D-shaped ratchet wheel, insertion block, and direction adjusting button correspond to the handle, drive member, pawl, and transmission member and reversing plate of the Subject Application. In the CITED REFERENCE 1, the direction adjusting member is used to move the insertion block, and the direction adjusting member is pivotally mounted around a first end of the D-shaped ratchet wheel and located outside the head. Thus, the technique of using the direction adjusting member to control engagement direction between the insertion block and the D-shaped ratchet wheel is identical to that of the Subject Application.

The CITED REFERENCE 2 discloses a direction adjustable reversing structure for a ratchet wrench, wherein an upper plate and a lower plate are engaged by screws to a body, and a ratchet wheel and a catch are disposed in a through-hole in an end of the body. The catch having a first teeth portion and a second teeth portion corresponds to the pawl of the Subject Application.

The publication date of CITED REFERENCE 3 discloses an improved catch tooth structure for a ratchet wrench, wherein the catch body having a first teeth portion and a second teeth portion corresponds to the pawl of the Subject Application.

The features of the Subject Application have been respectively disclosed in the CITED REFERENCES 1, 2 and 3. The high-torque reversing effects of the CITED REFERENCES 1, 2, and 3 are the same as that of the Subject Application. Thus, the Subject Application can be easily achieved by one skilled in the art and fail to provide improved effectiveness. Hence, the CITED REFERENCES 1, 2 and 3 are evidential, and the independent claims 1 and 2 do not posses improvement. Further, the specific

shapes of the compartment, notch, drive member, pawl, reversing plate, tip piece, positioning piece, and retaining means recited in dependent claims 3-15 of the Subject Application are simple application of prior art without providing improved effectiveness and therefore not possessing improvement."

Therefore, the TIPO stood for the opposition and rejected the Subject Application.

The Appellant alleged that: "... The technical measure used in the Subject. Applicant is different from those disclosed in the CITED REFERENCES 1, 2, and 3. The pawl of the Subject Application "slides leftward and rightward" in the compartment. But the insertion block of CITED REFERENCE 1, the catch of CITED REFERENCE 2, and the catch body of CITED REFERENCE 3 "pivot clockwise and counterclockwise" in the respective compartments. One of the first teeth portion and the second teeth portion of the "sliding type dual-center" pawl is engaged with the drive member according to the ratcheting direction. The meshing relationship between the first teeth portion and the drive member differs from that between the second teeth portion and the drive member, achieving a balance in a portion of the pawl to which a force is exerted. The number of teeth engaged with the drive member is greater than that in the prior art pawl, and the force exerted to each tooth is more uniform and reliable, thereby improving the torque-bearing capacity. Thus, the Subject Application improves effectiveness and thus possesses improvement. Accordingly, withdrawal of the rejection decision by the TIPO is respectfully requested."

After review of this case and comparing the CITED REFERENCES with the Subject Application, it was found that although the shape, teeth portions, and the number of teeth of the insertion block of the CITED REFERENCE 1, the catch of the CITED REFERENCE 2, and the catch body of the CITED REFERENCE 3 are slightly

different from those of the Subject Application, yet in view of that fact that the CITED REFERENCE 1 includes a handle, an insertion block, a direction adjusting member, a C-shaped retainer ring, a spring, a steel ball, a D-shaped ratchet wheel, a compression spring, a positioning steel ball, a push rod, a returning member, and a positioning block, wherein the head of the handle includes an axial through-hole, a side of the insertion block facing the D-shaped ratchet wheel includes ratchet teeth for forward ratcheting operation and ratchet teeth for reverse ratcheting operation. The handle, D-shaped ratchet wheel, insertion block, and direction adjusting button correspond to the handle, drive member, pawl, and transmission member and reversing plate of the Subject Application. In the CITED REFERENCE 1, the direction adjusting member is used to move the insertion block, and the direction adjusting member is pivotally mounted around a first end of the D-shaped ratchet wheel and located outside the head. Thus, the technique of using the direction adjusting member to control engagement direction between the insertion block and the D-shaped ratchet wheel is identical to that of the Subject Application.

Further, the CITED REFERENCE 2 discloses a direction adjustable reversing structure for a ratchet wrench, wherein an upper plate and a lower plate are engaged by screws to a body, and a ratchet wheel and a catch are disposed in a through-hole in an end of the body. The catch having a first teeth portion and a second teeth portion corresponds to the pawl of the Subject Application.

Further, the publication date of CITED REFERENCE 3 discloses an improved catch tooth structure for a ratchet wrench, wherein the catch body having a first teeth portion and a second teeth portion corresponds to the pawl of the Subject Application.

In view of above, the features of the Subject Application have been respectively disclosed in the CITED REFERENCES 1, 2 and 3. The high-torque

reversing effects of the CITED REFERENCES 1, 2, and 3 are the same as that of the Subject Application. Thus, the Subject Application can be easily achieved by one skilled in the art and fail to provide improved effectiveness. All of the reasons have been clearly set forth in the TIPO's answer to the Appeal Brief.

Hence, the rejection by the TIPO on the basis that the CITED REFERENCES 1, 2, and 3 are evidential to prove that the Subject Application fails to meet the requirement of Article 98, paragraph 2 of the Patent Law was proper, and such rejection is upheld.

As to the PATENT ANAYSIS REPORT made by the TAIWAN MECHANICIAN ASSOCIATION under entrustment by the Appellant for arguing the improvement of the Subject Application, it is decided that the PATENT ANAYSIS REPORT cannot be the advantageous basis of patentability, as the patent analysis procedure is irrelevant to the patent opposition procedure. Further, the oral hearing requested by the Appellant is unnecessary, as the case is clear.

濟部訴願決定書

訴字第〇九 民 國 九 + 0 年十一 六一 二六一六0號 月五 日

訴 願 厚 飛

人住 中 市 大 進街五三六之一 號 八樓

代 理 殷 世 君

務 所 中 北 屯區崇德路二段一三〇 號六樓A

慧 財 產局 訴 願 九十一 人因 第 年五月十七日 八九二〇〇五七〇號新 九 〉智專三 型專利異議事件(P○一) (三)02045字第○九一八 ,不服原處分機關智 九〇〇

號 專 利 主 文定 書所 為之處 分 , 提 起 訴 願 ,本部決定如左:

願 駁 回

訴

實

申 請 新 緣 型專 訴 願 利 人 前 於 經 該 入 十 局 九年一 編 為第八九二〇〇五七〇號審查 月十一 日 以 棘輪扳手 こ ,准 予專利。 向原處 公告期間 分機 關 智 慧 嗣 財 產 一局





装·

異 02045字 利 處 甘 分 議 法 冠 娟 案 君 訴 第 第 經 九 願 以其不 原 〇九 十 人 處 不 七 分機 服 一 八 條 符合系爭專 ` , 關智慧財 九〇〇 第 經 九十 由 原 處 利 <u>-</u> 產局審查,於 條第 分機 核 准審 關 號專利 定時 向 項 第 本 部提 專 九十一 異議 款及 利 起訴 法 審定 第二 八八 年五月十七 願 書為「 項所 十三年一月二十一 , 並 經原處 定 異議成 之新 日 以 分機關 型 專利 立 九 , 依 應 日 要 修 訴 不 件 智 予 正 願 專 專 對 公 法 Ξ 布 第 利 之 提 Ξ 五 之 專 起 之

理由

條第

項

規

定

檢卷

答

辩

到

部

置 本 且 明 取 形 换 件 未 定 得 成 間 向 系爭第 能 新 按 樞 的 塊 增 凡 惟 型 固 頭 對 專 進 其 部 狀 撥 功 入 物 態 新 動 利 效時 九二 品 裝 型 驅 , 置 如 固 之 動 換 仍 形 係 體 0 為 向 運 系 狀 塊 以 控 不得 第 用 爭 五 制 側 申 專 七 構 鈕 依 Ŏ 分 請 利 造 法申請取得新型專利 前 _ 號 或 别 核 固 裝 既 准 定 端 設 「棘輪扳手(二)」新 有第 裝 審 有 置 分 定 別 置 之 之 技術 與 時 創 凸 一與第二 撥 專 出 作 接 或 或 利 於 件等 法 頭 知 改 部 識 第 齒 良 ,復為同法第九十八 主 容 面 九 , 置 要 十 而 而 , 型專 空 構 為 各 七 可 間 熟 條 齒 件 供 習該 暨第 利案 產 所 之 面 業 外 由 組 不同 項 成 上 , 九 技 係 十 中 利 由 術 段 扳 用 圓 條 入 容 手 扳 者 條 者 第 ら、 第 手 所 所 置 _ 得 形 端 能 項 於 成 容 所 輕 依 驅 項 固 易 前 之 置 設 動 明 法 申 完 空 具 段 體 定 兩 間. 容 成 所 請 個



手

之

七

年

新

型

C

正

向

定

位

請

利

案

月

異

議

以

其

用

撥

置

容

置

套

弧

率

原型 西川県

其 爭 别 係 動 間 屬 足 齒 棘 引 訴 見 結 具 嵌 案 爭 之 以 藉 輪 項 證 願 系爭 第 掣 簡 第 為 於 構 上 D 證 Ξ 案 人 缺 固 引 塊 單 ٠. 頭 口 = 熟 明 , 之 之 下 其 與 啮 案 證 訴 運 糸 習 止 , 換 片體 合 具第 扳 爭 第 用 調 稱 驅 該 回 向 四 方 節 手 案 ___ 爪 塊 動 項 • 系爭 向 _ 以 並 體 申 技 齒 鈕 係 五 本 定 未 之 齒 樞 驅 面 體 請 藝 • 於 Ξ 案與 位 技 增 换 人 專 設 動 之 容 六 面 , 螺 術 進 於 中 棘 係 與 體 槽 向 利 士 ` 絲 手 引 功 第二 棘 塊 範 皆於 內 七 所 輪 • 與 段 换 證 效 引 掣塊 輪 • 圍 能 本體結 與 D 面 向 容 控 第 證 左 輕 系爭 亦 九 之止 頭 槽 右 制 易 塊 二及三所利 不具 之第一 相 、撥 滑移」 • 內 鈕 完 合, 案 當 = 回爪 成 進 相 動 十 順 撥 於 項 且 並 同 步性 裝置 系爭 端 本 、十一 片、 = 獨 未 將 0 具 反 體 且 逆時鐘樞轉」 能 立 棘 引 用 , 乃 一與控 凸 有 案 定位片及固 項 觀 增 , 證二揭 輪 之 、十 出 的 相 引 高 不 進 技 為 及 當 制 具 换 於 證 功 扭 棘 術手 系 扳 _ 力 鈕 進 效 向 異議 輪 示 ` 手 爭案 , 步 塊 之嵌 之 , 掣塊 段為 引 十 性 换 頭 故 定裝置等形狀 0 0 種 成 部 證 掣塊 引 <u>:</u> : 引 向 的 系爭案 0 定 棘 立 兩 另 換 證 功 證 位 輪 種 , 藉 利 + 系爭案 向 Ξ 效 於 扳 • 完 應 用 塊 亦 揭 調 引 四 一滑移 本 手 全 不 與 示 節 證二 ` , 體 不同 予 之 + 系爭 方 特 申 系爭案 鈕 轉 專 操 向 Ξ 種 端貫穿 請 型雙中 五 徵 之 向 之掣 利 皆 具 案 控 調 棘 棘 項 專 調 嵌掌 節 為 技 輪 整 動 之 利 證 相 之 習知技 藝 容 槽 範 鈕 掣塊 據 結 心 處 同 扳 型 塊 來 置 已分 手 一態 構 童 力 內 , 與 及 系 止 連 空 附 之





本 操 較 輪 推 嵌 由 弧 換 操 要 扳 向 自 位 掣 會 手 作 桿 體 各 習 向 控 於 調 構 D 之 扭 第 嵌 之 項 知 平 塊 本 之 節 件 頭 塊 轉 力 體 掣 之 彈 形 異 换 均 則 鈕 分 上 落 狀 向 復 議 齒 向 塊 來 别 方 依 , 在 側 元 向 證 塊 换 端 調 連 相 面 與 系爭 貫 據 受 整 當 設 件 的 及 向 棘 動 調 齒 實際 第 穿 結 嵌 有 與 節 面 與 力 位 輪 於 案 系 糸 定 槽 掣 齒 _ 置 構 正 鈕 以 D 有 爭 受 爭 向 及 面 齒 塊 位 之 內 頭 • , 顯 力 案 與 齒 上 面 不 係 案 塊 啮 著之功效 齒 其 等 藉 C 數 的 各 逆 之 同 合 調 扳 數 具 等 比 每 自 節 向 分 上 方 手 六 多, 第 較 產 别 向 鈕 棘 件 型 • , 結 下 個 生 樞 所 扣 雖 以 之 驅 齒 增進 且 其 與第 環 果 齒 啮 片 技 設 動 組 與 , 每 第 其 成 系 合 體 體 術 於 ?, • , 爭 31 彈 棘 藉 狀 手 棘 以 , • 明 齒 簧 態 齒 棘 案 證 此 輪 齒 定 段 輪 换 顯 達 面 換 的 位 與 向 扳 輪 面 D , • 具有進步性 嵌 咬合受力平 或 糸 到 達 螺 手 向 塊 扳 鋼 之 頭 受 第 掣 到 棘 絲 爭 手 珠 塊 之 第 塊 力 案 棘 頭 稍 維 輪 與 撥 • 平 持 齒 掣 輪 部 棘 有 本 相 動 31 均 换 面 塊 體 端 裝 D 設 輪 不 同 , 均 有 同 證 之 向 與 結 且 置 頭 D 請 0 貫 更 目 塊 驅 與 頭 合 次 凸 相 • , 求撤 嵌 穿 棘 穩 惟 的 受 動 當 查 出 控 • , 掣 體 力 並 於 制 軸 壓 引 輪 固 於 銷原處 , , 系 掣 產 確 引 塊 孔 縮 不 將 證 扳 鈕 實 爭 與 彈 塊 但 方 生 棘 手 證 , ٠, 實 嚙 簧 之 案 輪 _ 頭 引 方 嵌 係 分云 , 7. 合 掣 引 故 平 揭 在 部 由 證 向 的 及 • 塊 定 棘 證 在 的 衡 棘 調 云 , 换 示 , 節 提 啮 依 = 向 藉 利 於 位 輪 輪 0 高 合 掣 止 則 不 面 扳 塊 穜 調 用 鈕 鋼 經 等 查 承 齒 同 塊 節 對 珠 手 回 施 棘 棘 爪 數 力 方 主 圓 定 鈕



智 合 告 應 未 Ξ 不 專 能 爭 具 同 予 條第二 0 職 增 維 有 案 引 Ξ 認 據 是 糸 進 證 F 因 持 高 的 訴 依 之 き 三揭 論 争 項 功 扭 换 願 ۰ ٥ 之 故 效 現 結 案 力 向 人 至 規 有 05052字第○九一三一 尚 塊 具 訴 ,本件原處分機關認引證一至三已足以證明系爭案違反前揭 之 示 定 系爭案不具進步性 事證予以 難 進 願 换 0 ,所為 綜 種 執 步性 件訴願為無理 向 人 於 上 棘 該 功 所述 專利 訴 輪 乙節 效 審究,本 願階 亦 扳 異議成立,應不予專利」 手止 與系爭 , 分 析鑑 系爭案技藝已分別見於 段提 查 由 齒 鑑 件訴 凡此業經原處分機關 定報 出 案 結 定 ,爰依訴願法第七十九條 00 相 構 程 願審議委員會主任 之委託臺灣省機械技師 願之案情已臻 告作為 同 序 , 其具 與 四二六號訴 專 系爭案為 第 有利 利 專 一齒 之論據 責機 明確 之處分, 引證 熟習 面與第二 委員 關 願 九十 答辩 該 依 0 所 項 、二及三中 又 法 第 エ 請 衡諸前 ___ 書論 技藝 會 訴 所 面之之止 年九 核 項 願 為 鑑 無 明綦詳 入 之 之專 定 月二 游瑞 人 開 必 士 規定 請 之 要 說 專 所 德 求 利 十 回 ٠, 明 爪 引 專 能 異 利 決 均 到 į Ξ , 本 議 利 日 證 部 經 輕 定 分 併 洵 體 法 易 核 溝 審 析 予 無 第 九 完 查 並 指 通 鑑 違 九 無 成 相 明 解 程 定 誤 文 及 + 且 說 序 報 不

委員

義

旭智

委員

文

民

國

委委委委委委員員員員員

鮑蔡楊段林林 明照重榮誠 娟誠彦民慶二

月

平東路三段一巷一 不 服 本訴 願 決定 號) ,得 提起行政訴 於 決定書送達之次日 訟

起二個月內向臺北高等行政法院

(臺北

如

TRANSLATION OF TIPO'S ANSWER TO APPEAL BRIEF

TIPO'S (Taiwan Intellectual Property Office's) ANSWER

Items 1 and 2 of the Appeal Brief describe the features of the Subject Application and the history of wrenches.

Items 3-7 of the Appeal Brief allege that the Subject Application is patentable, yet it is incorrect. In fact, by comparison, although the shape, teeth portions, and the number of teeth of the insertion block of the CITED REFERENCE 1, the catch of the CITED REFERENCE 2, and the catch body of the CITED REFERNCE 3 are slightly different from those of the Subject Application, yet in view of that fact that the CITED REFERENCE 1 includes a handle, an insertion block, a direction adjusting member, a C-shaped retainer ring, a spring, a steel ball, a D-shaped ratchet wheel, a compression spring, a positioning steel ball, a push rod, a returning member, and a positioning block, wherein the head of the handle includes an axial through-hole, a side of the insertion block facing the D-shaped ratchet wheel includes ratchet teeth for forward ratcheting operation and ratchet teeth for reverse ratcheting operation. The handle, D-shaped ratchet wheel, insertion block, and direction adjusting button correspond to the handle, drive member, pawl, and transmission member and reversing plate of the Subject Application. In the CITED REFERENCE 1, the direction adjusting member is used to move the insertion block, and the direction adjusting member is pivotally mounted around a first end of the D-shaped ratchet wheel and located outside the head. Thus, the technique of using the direction adjusting member to control engagement direction between the insertion block and the D-shaped ratchet wheel is identical to that of the Subject Application.

The CITED REFERENCE 2 discloses a direction adjustable reversing structure for a ratchet wrench, wherein an upper plate and a lower plate are engaged

by screws to a body, and a ratchet wheel and a catch are disposed in a through-hole in an end of the body. The catch having a first teeth portion and a second teeth portion corresponds to the pawl of the Subject Application.

The publication date of CITED REFERENCE 3 discloses an improved catch tooth structure for a ratchet wrench, wherein the catch body having a first teeth portion and a second teeth portion corresponds to the pawl of the Subject Application.

The features of the Subject Application have been respectively disclosed in the CITED REFERENCES 1, 2 and 3. The high-torque reversing effects of the CITED REFERENCES 1, 2, and 3 are the same as that of the Subject Application. Thus, the Subject Application can be easily achieved by one skilled in the art and fail to provide improved effectiveness.

Accordingly, rejection of the Subject Application by the TIPO was proper.

Rejection of the Appeal is, therefore, respectfully requested.

裝

經濟部智慧財產局 函

受文者: 胡厚飛 先生(代理人:林殷世 先生)

傳 機關地址: 如有疑問請電洽(〇二)二七三八〇〇〇七分機一 台北市辛亥路二段 (〇二) ニセ三セニ五ーセ 一八五號三 樓 一六五八

中華民國九十一年九月二十三日

附件:如文發文字號: 〈九一發文日期:中華民內密等及解密條件:) 05052字第〇九一三一〇〇一四二六號

主旨 檢送第O 宗, 請 八九二 核辨 O O 五 七〇P〇 一號專利異議事件之訴願答辯書一份,暨申請卷二宗、

一辨理

異議卷

說明 經濟部訴願 依 貴會九十一年七月十五日B2-091-06-151(24069)號調卷函 審議委員會

正本: 副本: 胡厚飛 先生(代理人:林殷世 先生) (含答辯書)





授權單位主管決行

第一頁

掛號

發文文號: 09131001426

林殷

世

先生

1

台中市北屯區崇德路二段一三○號六樓A

c:\A9100302.791

中華民國九十 一年九月二十三日

九一〉智專三(三)05052字第〇九一三一〇〇

代理 訴 願 人 胡厚 林 殷 世 飛 先 先 生 生

答辩 機 關 經 濟部智慧 財產局

02045字第〇九一八九〇〇 於審定公告中為關係人甘冠娟先生提起異議 訴 願人於八 十九年一 月十 日 以 號異議審定書審定,異議成立 棘輪扳手 , 經本局於九十一年五月十七日以 $\widehat{\Xi}$ 申請第〇 ,應不予專利 八九二〇〇 五 **〈九一〉智專三** , 七 訴願人不服 Ō 號 新型專 利 (Ξ) 提起

理由

訴

願

訴 願 理 由第 項 係敘 述系爭案專利特徵及 扳手之發展歷史

訴 項異議 等 鈕 齒 願 六 八件所 理 面 由 證 C 以 據與 第三 及 組 型扣 成 齒數等 系爭案 環 棘 四 輪 • • 彈簧、 扳 雖與系爭案換 Ž 五 手頭 比 • 較 六 部設有貫穿軸孔 結 鋼 果 珠 七 項 棘輪D 向 引 所 稱 塊梢有不同 證 嵌掣塊 系爭案具 頭 嵌掣塊 壓縮彈簧 有進 引 惟 於 引 證 步 證 面 定 性 對 棘 一條 棘 位 輪 由棘 掣塊 輪D 鋼 節 珠 頭 輪 , 推桿 引 並 之 — 扳 不 手 證 正 側 Ξ • 嵌 彈 止 確 設 復元 掣 有 回 0 事實上 JE 塊 爪 件 向 本 與定 與逆 醴 方 向 之 形 由 向 位 調 棘 塊 c:\A9100302.749

其棘

輪扳

手

棘

輪

D

頭

嵌掣塊與方向

調節鈕等主要構

件

分別

相

當於系爭案扳

驅

動

體

四二六號

中

華

經濟部

綜

上

所述

,

敬

請駁

回 訴

願

具進

步性

右陳

本局原處分並無違誤 民 國

蔡 練 月

九

生

日

第二頁

c:\A9100302.749

將棘 系爭案: 相 頭 的 換向 之第一 功 同 效 輪 塊 及 引 亦與系爭案相同 的 棘 换 證 端且凸出 引證三 輪掣塊 向 揭 塊 示 揭 定 於 系爭案技藝已分別見於引證 種棘 示 扳手 位 於 種棘 本體 輪扳 頭 系爭案為熟習該項技藝人士所能輕易完成且未能增進功效,系爭案未 部 手 輪扳手止齒結構 端貫穿槽內 藉 之 轉 調 節 向 問整結 鈕 操控嵌掣塊 ,其具第一與第二齒面之棘輪掣塊 構 、二、三中 其具第 係 籍上 齒面與第 • 下片體 , 引證 合 以 定 面 方 • 之 二、三具有高 位 向 螺 之止 之 絲 技 與本 術 回 爪 手 相當於系爭案 體結合 段 本 扭力 體 與 系 之換 相 並

方向調 節鈕來連動嵌掌塊 與棘輪D 頭 啮

,

調

節

鈕

樞

設

於

棘

輪 D

換

向

塊

撥

動裝置與控

制

鈕

引

證

利用